

ON SOME QUESTIONS OF FISK AND BRÄNDÉN

RINTARO YOSHIDA

ABSTRACT. P. Brändén recently proved a conjecture due to S. Fisk, R. P. Stanley, P. R. W. McNamara and B. E. Sagan. In addition, P. Brändén gave a partial answer to a question posed by S. Fisk regarding the distribution of zeros of polynomials under the action of certain non-linear operators. In this paper, we give an extension to a result of P. Brändén, and we also answer a question posed by S. Fisk.

1. INTRODUCTION

P. Brändén [1] recently proved a conjecture due to S. Fisk, R. P. Stanley, P. R. W. McNamara and B. E. Sagan.

Theorem 1 (P. Brändén [1]). *If a real polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ has only real negative zeros, then the associated polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n (a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1})x^k$, also has only real negative zeros, where $a_{-1} = a_{n+1} = 0$.*

S. Fisk [9] posed a problem related to Theorem 1, which may be formulated as follows.

Open Problem 1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If a real polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ has only real negative zeros, then does the associated polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n (a_k^2 - a_{k-r}a_{k+r})x^k$, where $a_t = a_s = 0$ for $t < 0$ and $s > n$, have only real negative zeros?*

In [1], P. Brändén provides an affirmative answer to Open Problem 1 for $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In Section 3 of this note, we will extend the aforementioned result of P. Brändén, and we give a complete answer to Open Problem 1. We investigate, in Section 4, a problem of S. Fisk [9] involving the minors of a particular matrix related to Theorem 1. Subsequently, in Section 5, we apply the methods discussed in Sections 3 and 4 to another non-linear operator which arises from an inequality introduced by D. K. Dimitrov. We conclude this paper with an example and several open problems.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2. A real entire function φ is said to belong to the *Laguerre-Pólya class*, denoted $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$, if φ is the uniform limit on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, of real

Date: May 25, 2010.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C47, 26C10; Secondary 30C15, 33C52.

Key words and phrases. Stanley Conjecture, Laguerre-Pólya Class, Multiplier Sequences, Non-Linear Operators.

polynomials with only real zeros. A function $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P} \cup \{0\}$ if and only if it can be expressed as

$$\varphi(x) = c x^n e^{-ax^2+bx} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \rho_j x) e^{-\rho_j x},$$

where $b, c, \rho_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$, $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_j^2 < \infty$ (see [11, Chapter VIII]). The subclass $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \subset \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$, consists of those functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ that have non-negative Taylor coefficients.

The class $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$ has the following characterization (see [11, Chapter VIII]).

Theorem 3. *A real entire function $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$ if and only if its Hadamard product representation can be expressed in the form*

$$\varphi(x) = c x^m e^{ax} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \rho_j x),$$

where $a, c, \rho_j \geq 0$, $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_j < \infty$.

Definition 4. We will refer to the following notation frequently in the sequel. We define

$$\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+ := \mathbb{R}[x] \cap \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+. \quad (1)$$

A sequence of real numbers $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a *multiplier sequence*, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k = p(x) \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$, then $\sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_k a_k x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Multiplier sequences were characterized in a seminal paper by G. Pólya and J. Schur [16].

Theorem 5 (G. Pólya and J. Schur [16]). *Let $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers, and let $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ be the corresponding (diagonal) linear operator defined by $T[x^k] = \gamma_k x^k$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\varphi(x) = T[e^x]$ to be the formal power series*

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence;
- (ii) $T[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P} \cup \{0\}$;
- (iii) $\varphi(x)$ is the uniform limit of polynomials with only real zeros of the same sign on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$;
- (iv) Either $\varphi(x)$ or $\varphi(-x)$ is an entire function that can be written as

$$C x^n e^{ax} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha_k x),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, \alpha_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty$;

- (v) For all non-negative integers n the polynomial $T[(1+x)^n]$ has only real zeros of the same sign.

A particularly useful multiplier sequence given in the following example will be frequently referred to in the sequel.

Example 6. For each $\mu > 0$, $\{1/\Gamma(k + \mu)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence (see [4, Theorem 4.1]). In particular, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{1/(k + j)!\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence.

Lemma 7. For $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{(n-k+d)!}\right\}_{k=0}^\infty$ (where $\frac{1}{k!} = 0$ for $k < 0$) is a multiplier sequence.

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 5 (v), it suffices to show that the polynomial $f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{(n-k+d)!} x^k$ has only real negative zeros. The sequence $\{1/(k + d)!\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence, thus $p(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{(k+d)!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{(n-k+d)!} x^{n-k}$ has only real negative zeros. Thus it follows that $f(x)$ has only real negative zeros, as desired. $\square$

The following notation follows P. Brändén [1, Section 4].

Definition 8. Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a fixed sequence of complex numbers and given a finite sequence, $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^n$, define two new sequences $\{b_k(\alpha)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{c_k(\alpha)\}_{k=0}^\infty$, where

$$b_k(\alpha) := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j a_{k-j} a_{k+j} \quad \text{and} \quad c_k(\alpha) := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j a_{k-j} a_{k+1+j},$$

and $a_j = 0$ if $j \notin \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Also define two non-linear operators acting on polynomials, $U_\alpha, V_\alpha : \mathbb{C}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]$, by

$$U_\alpha \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \right) := \sum_{k=0}^n b_k(\alpha) x^k \quad \text{and} \quad V_\alpha \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \right) := \sum_{k=0}^n c_k(\alpha) x^k. \quad (2)$$

P. Brändén extends the non-linear operators U_α and V_α from $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ to $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$ (cf. Definition 4), in order to prove the following theorems [1, Theorem 5.7, Theorem 5.8].

Theorem 9 (P. Brändén [1]). If $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of real numbers, then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $U_\alpha[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$.
- (ii) $U_\alpha[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$; that is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\alpha_j}{(k+j)!(k-j)!} \right) x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}.$$

- (iii) $U_\alpha[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Theorem 10 (P. Brändén [1]). If $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is sequence of real numbers, then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $V_\alpha[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$.
- (ii) $V_\alpha[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$; that is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\alpha_j}{(k+1+j)!(k-j)!} \right) x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}.$$

- (iii) $V_\alpha[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Recall the definition of the operators U_α and V_α (see (2)). For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$S_r := U_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_r := V_\alpha, \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_r = -1$, and $\alpha_k = 0$ if $k \notin \{0, r\}$. With the aid of Theorem 5, Theorem 9, and Theorem 10, P. Brändén proved $S_r[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ [1, Proposition 6.2] and $\tilde{S}_r[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ [1, Proposition 6.3] for $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, where $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ is defined in (1). The following propositions are extensions of the aforementioned results of P. Brändén.

Proposition 11. $S_4[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$, where S_4 is defined in (3).

Proof. By definition, $S_4[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^\infty [a_k^2 - a_{k-4}a_{k+4}]x^k$, where $a_k = 1/k!$, and $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. By Theorem 9, it suffices to show that

$$S_4[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{8(2k+1)(k^2+k+3)}{k!(k+4)!} x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+.$$

To this end, consider

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{8(2k+1)(k^2+k+3)(5+k)(6+k)(7+k)}{k!} x^k = p(x)e^x,$$

where the polynomial

$$p(x) = 5040 + 35280x + 52920x^2 + 29400x^3 + 6360x^4 + 552x^5 + 16x^6$$

has only real negative zeros. This assertion can be verified by using Mathematica in conjunction with the intermediate value theorem. Thus the entire function $f(x) \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, and by Theorem 5, the sequence

$$\{8(2k+1)(k^2+k+3)(5+k)(6+k)(7+k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$$

is a multiplier sequence. Next we apply the multiplier sequence $\{1/(k+7)!\}_{k=0}^\infty$ (cf. Example 6) to the entire function $f(x)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{8(2k+1)(k^2+k+3)(5+k)(6+k)(7+k)}{k!(k+7)!} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{8(2k+1)(k^2+k+3)}{k!(k+4)!} x^k = S_4[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 5. □

A similar argument, *mutatis mutandis*, establishes the following proposition.

Proposition 12. $\tilde{S}_4[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$, where $\tilde{S}_4$ is defined in (3).

Next, we consider Open Problem 1 and a related question posed by P. Brändén [1, p.11], both of which fail. In particular, we will show that $S_6[e^x], \tilde{S}_6[e^x] \notin \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, where

$$S_6[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^\infty [a_k^2 - a_{k-6}a_{k+6}]x^k, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_6[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [a_k a_{k+1} - a_{k-6} a_{k+7}] x^k, \quad (5)$$

where $a_k = 1/k!$, and $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Thus, by Theorem 9 and Theorem 10, $S_6[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+] \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$ and $\tilde{S}_6[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+] \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$, where $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$ is defined in (1).

Lemma 13. *Set $f(x) := S_6[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$, its partial sum $f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$, and $E_n(x) := f(x) - f_n(x)$. If $x_0 = -43$, then*

$$|E_{30}^{(j)}(x_0)| < 5 \times 10^{-18},$$

where $E_n^{(j)}(x)$ denotes the j -th derivative for $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. The infinite sum obtained by the power series $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$ evaluated at $x_0 = -43$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(720 + 1884k + 1350k^2 + 960k^3 + 90k^4 + 36k^5)}{k!(6+k)!} (-43)^k := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k c_k.$$

An elementary computation yields $c_k \geq c_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 7$. Hence, $E_k(x_0)$ is an alternating series for $k \geq 7$, and for $j = 0, 1, 2$,

$$|E_{30}^{(j)}(x_0)| \leq |E_{28}(x_0)| \leq |b_{29}| < 5 \times 10^{-18}. \quad \square$$

The classical Laguerre inequalities (see [2]) generalize to a system of inequalities which characterize $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$, the Laguerre-Pólya class.

Theorem 14 (M. L. Patrick [15], T. Craven and G. Csordas ([2], [6], [5])). *Let $\varphi(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k$ be a real entire function. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, set*

$$L_n(\varphi(x)) := \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{k+n}}{(2n)!} \binom{2n}{k} \varphi^{(k)}(x) \varphi^{(2n-k)}(x).$$

Then $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ if and only if

$$L_n(\varphi(x)) \geq 0 \quad (\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Theorem 15. *If $x_0 = -43$, then*

$$(f'(x_0))^2 - f(x_0)f''(x_0) < 0, \quad (6)$$

where $f(x) = S_6[e^x]$.

Proof. With the notation of Lemma 13, $f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$, and $E_n(x) := f(x) - f_n(x)$. Using Mathematica 7, for $x_0 = -43$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &= f_{30}(x_0) + E_{30}(x_0) \\ &= -5.354465 \dots \times 10^{-2} + E_{30}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x_0) &= f_{30}^{(1)}(x_0) + E_{30}^{(1)}(x_0) \\ &= 7.536322 \dots \times 10^{-5} + E_{30}^{(1)}(x_0), \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x_0) &= f_{30}^{(2)}(x_0) + E_{30}^{(2)}(x_0) \\ &= -3.954149 \dots \times 10^{-3} + E_{30}^{(2)}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (f'(x_0))^2 - f(x_0)f''(x_0) \\ &= (7.536322 \dots \times 10^{-5} + E_{30}^{(1)}(x_0))^2 \\ & \quad - (-5.354465 \dots \times 10^{-2} + E_{30}(x_0))(-3.954149 \dots \times 10^{-3} + E_{30}^{(2)}(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 13, a calculation show that

$$(f'(x_0))^2 - f(x_0)f''(x_0) < -2.1 \times 10^{-4}. \quad \square$$

A similar argument, *mutatis mutandis*, establishes the following theorem.

Theorem 16. *If $x_0 = -56$, then*

$$(g'(x_0))^2 - g(x_0)g''(x_0) < 0, \quad (7)$$

where $g(x) = \tilde{S}_6[e^x]$.

4. RELATED RESULTS

In [9, Question 3], S. Fisk raised the following question.

Open Problem 2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$, where $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$ is defined in (1). Form*

$$F_d[f(x)] := \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{vmatrix} a_k & \dots & a_{k+d-1} \\ a_{k-1} & \dots & a_{k+d-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k-d+1} & \dots & a_k \end{vmatrix} x^k, \text{ where } a_k = 0 \text{ for } k < 0 \text{ and } k > n. \quad (8)$$

Is it true that $F_d[f(x)] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$ for all $f(x) \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$?

In order to give a partial answer to S. Fisk's question, we introduce the following notation.

Notation 17. For a given sequence of complex numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, we consider the infinite matrix

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ a_{-3} & a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, we define the $d \times d$ principal minor, starting at column k of M , by

$$D_k^{(d)} := \det(a_{k-i+j}), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq d-1. \quad (10)$$

As an application of P. A. MacMahon's Master Theorem [12, Section 495], R. P. Stanley [19, Theorem 18.1] proved the following result.

Theorem 18 (R. P. Stanley [19]). *Let $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k := \binom{n}{n-k}$, and $a_k := 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > n$. Then for $0 \leq k \leq n$,*

$$D_k^{(d)} = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\binom{n+j}{k+j}}{\binom{n-k+j}{n-k}}$$

where $D_k^{(d)}$ is defined in (10).

The following composition theorem (see [4, Theorem 2.4], [13, §16], [14, §7]) will be needed in the sequel.

Theorem 19 (Malo-Schur-Szegö Theorem). *Let*

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k x^k, \quad B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} b_k x^k,$$

and set

$$C(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k b_k x^k.$$

- (i) (Szegö [20]) *If all the zeros of $A(x)$ lie in a circular region K , and if $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ are the zeros of $B(x)$, then every zero of $C(x)$ is of the form $\zeta = -\omega\beta_j$, for some $\omega \in K$.*
- (ii) (Schur [18]) *If all the zeros of $A(x)$ lie in a convex region K containing the origin and if the zeros of $B(x)$ lie in the interval $(-1, 0)$, then the zeros of $C(x)$ also lie in K .*
- (iii) *If the zeros of $A(x)$ lie in the interval $(-a, a)$ and if the zeros of $B(x)$ lie in the interval $(-b, 0)$ (or in $(0, b)$), where $a, b, > 0$, then the zeros of $C(x)$ lie in $(-ab, ab)$.*
- (iv) (Malo [14, p. 29], Schur [18]) *If the zeros of $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\mu} a_k x^k$ are all real and if the zeros of $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} b_k x^k$ are all real and of the same sign, then the zeros of the polynomials $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m k! a_k b_k x^k$ and $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k b_k x^k$ are all real, where $m = \min(\mu, \nu)$.*

Lemma 20. *If $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$B(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{\binom{n+d}{k+d}}{\binom{n-k+d}{n-k}} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{(n+d)!d!}{(k+d)!(n-k+d)!} \right] x^k,$$

then $B(x)$ has only real negative zeros.

Proof. Two proofs will be given.

Proof 1. The numerator in the summand of $B(x)$, $(n+d)!d!$, are fixed constants. As noted before (cf. Example 6), $\left\{ \frac{1}{(k+d)!} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence. By Lemma 7, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(n-k+d)!} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (where $\frac{1}{k!} = 0$ for $k < 0$) is also a multiplier sequence. By Theorem 5, applying these multiplier sequences to $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$ implies that $B(x)$ has only real negative zeros.

Proof 2. K. Driver and K. Jordaan [8, Theorem 3.2] proved that the hypergeometric polynomial ${}_2F_1(-n, -(n+d); d; x) = B(x)$ has only real negative zeros. $\square$

Using Theorem 18, Theorem 19, Lemma 20, and Lemma 7, a partial answer to Open Problem 2 is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 21. *For $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomial $F_d[(1+x)^n]$ has only real negative zeros, where F_d is defined in (8).*

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 18, $F_d[(1+x)^n] = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\binom{n+j}{k+j}}{\binom{n-k+j}{n-k}} \right] x^k$. We will complete the proof of the proposition by induction on d .

$$F_1[(1+x)^n] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k = (1+x)^n \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+.$$

Suppose $A(x) := F_d[(1+x)^n] = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{\binom{n+j}{k+j}}{\binom{n-k+j}{n-k}} \right] x^k$ has only real negative zeros. Consider $B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{\binom{n+d}{k+d}}{\binom{n-k+d}{n-k}} x^k$ from Lemma 20, which has only real negative zeros. By Theorem 19, the composition of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ is

$$C(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\prod_{j=0}^d \frac{\binom{n+j}{k+j}}{\binom{n-k+j}{n-k}} \right] x^k = F_{d+1}(x),$$

which has only real negative zeros. $\square$

A proof analogous to Proposition 21 yields the following result about hypergeometric polynomials.

Proposition 22. *For a finite subset $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, denote by $|P|$ the number of elements in P . Then the hypergeometric polynomial (see [17, p. 73])*

$$\begin{aligned} & |P|+1 F_{|P|}(-n, -(n+\alpha_1), \dots, -(n+\alpha_{|P|}); \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{|P|}; (-1)^{|P|+1}x) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{|P|+1} (-(n+\alpha_{i-1}))_k}{\prod_{j=1}^{|P|} (\alpha_j)_k} \frac{x^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\prod_{\alpha_i \in P \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \frac{\binom{n+\alpha_i}{k+\alpha_i}}{\binom{n-k+\alpha_i}{n-k}} \right] x^k \end{aligned}$$

has only real negative zeros, where $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $(m)_j = m(m+1)(m+2)\cdots(m+j-1) = \frac{\Gamma(m+j)}{\Gamma(m)}$ is the Pochhammer symbol [17, p. 22].

Notation 23. Given a function $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, define the associated matrix M as in (9) formed by the sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of coefficients of $f(x)$, where $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Regard the transformation F_d as a non-linear operator on $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, where

$$F_d[f(x)] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{vmatrix} a_k & \dots & a_{k+d-1} \\ a_{k-1} & \dots & a_{k+d-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k-d+1} & \dots & a_k \end{vmatrix} x^k \quad (a_k = 0 \text{ for } k < 0). \quad (11)$$

By the Cauchy-Hadamard formula, $F_d[f(x)]$ is an entire function.

The next lemma can be proved by induction.

Lemma 24. *If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and the sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty := \{\frac{1}{k!}\}_{k=0}^\infty$, with $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$, then*

$$D_k^{(d)} = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{j!}{(k+j)!}.$$

where $D_k^{(d)}$ is defined in (10).

Using Lemma 24, the following result is attained.

Proposition 25. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_d[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, where F_d is defined in (11).*

Proof. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$F_d[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{vmatrix} a_k & \dots & a_{k+d-1} \\ a_{k-1} & \dots & a_{k+d-2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k-d-1} & \dots & a_k \end{vmatrix} x^k,$$

where $a_k = \frac{1}{k!}$, and $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Then by Lemma 24,

$$F_d[e^x] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{j!}{(k+j)!} \right) x^k.$$

Since $\left\{ \frac{1}{(k+j)!} \right\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence for $j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, $F_d[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$. $\square$

5. AN APPLICATION

For a sequence of positive real numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, D. K. Dimitrov [7] defined the *higher order Turán inequalities* as

$$4(a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1})(a_{k+1}^2 - a_ka_{k+2}) - (a_ka_{k+1} - a_{k-1}a_{k+2})^2 \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

For a polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$, define the non-linear operator J acting on $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ (cf. Definition 4) by

$$J \left[\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \right] := \sum_{k=0}^n \left[4(a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1})(a_{k+1}^2 - a_ka_{k+2}) - (a_ka_{k+1} - a_{k-1}a_{k+2})^2 \right] x^k,$$

where $a_k = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > n$. The operator J has the following property.

Proposition 26. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $J[(1+x)^n] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$, where $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{N}^+$ is defined in (1).*

Proof. $J[(1+x)^n]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[4 \binom{n}{k}^2 - \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{n}{k+1} \right] \left(\binom{n}{k+1}^2 - \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+2} \right) - \left(\binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+1} - \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{n}{k+2} \right)^2 x^k \\ &= (4n!(n+1)!(n+2)!) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{x^k}{(k+1)![(k+2)!]^2(n-k-1)![(n-k+1)!]^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Example 6 and Lemma 7, $\left\{\frac{1}{(k+1)!}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\left\{\frac{1}{(k+2)!}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\left\{\frac{1}{(n-k-1)!}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, and $\left\{\frac{1}{(n-k+1)!}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (where $\frac{1}{m!} = 0$ for $m < 0$) are multiplier sequences. Thus $J[(1+x)^n] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}^+$. $\square$

Notation 27. For $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, extend the operator J from $\mathbb{R}[x]$ to $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$ as the operator F_d was extended in (11). Thus

$$J[f(x)] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [4(a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1})(a_{k+1}^2 - a_k a_{k+2}) - (a_k a_{k+1} - a_{k-1}a_{k+2})^2] x^k. \quad (13)$$

By the Cauchy-Hadamard formula, $J[f(x)]$ is an entire function.

Proposition 28. If $f(x) = e^x$ in Notation 27, then $J[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, where $J[e^x]$ is defined by (13).

Proof. $J[e^x]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(4 \left[\left(\frac{1}{k!} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \right] \left[\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} - \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \right]^2 \right) x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{k!(k+1)![(k+2)!]^2} x^k \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\{\frac{1}{(k+j)!}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence for $j = 0, 1, 2$, $J[e^x] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$. $\square$

6. QUESTIONS AND AN EXAMPLE

We pose some questions regarding the operator S_r (similar questions could be considered for the operator $\tilde{S}_r$).

Open Problem 3. Find all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_r[\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+] \subseteq \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$.

Open Problem 4. Characterize the functions $f(x) \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$ such that $S_r[f(x)] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The existence of functions in Open Problem 4 is a consequence of the following notion (see [3, Section 4]).

Definition 29. A sequence of positive real numbers $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is called *rapidly decreasing*, if the sequence satisfies the following condition

$$s_k^2 \geq \alpha^2 s_{k-1} s_{k+1}, \text{ for } \alpha \geq \max \left\{ 2, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + s_1}) \right\}.$$

A power series $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x^k$ whose coefficients form a rapidly decreasing sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ belong in $\mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+ \cup \{0\}$ (see [3, Section 4], or [10]).

Example 30. The function $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{2^{k^2}} \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$, since the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2^{k^2}} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} := \{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$$

satisfies $a_k^2 \geq 4a_{k-1}a_{k+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, define the sequence

$$\{t_{k,r}\}_{k=0}^{\infty} := \{a_k^2 - a_{k-r}a_{k+r}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

Then the sequence $\{t_{k,r}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ also satisfies the condition $t_{k,r}^2 \geq 4t_{k-1,r}t_{k+1,r}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{t_{k,r}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a rapidly decreasing sequence, so $S_r[f(x)] \in \mathcal{L}\text{-}\mathcal{P}^+$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following question is related to Open Problem 4 and Example 30.

Open Problem 5. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ where $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a rapidly decreasing sequence. If $S_r[f(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k^2 - a_{k-r}a_{k+r})x^k := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k$, is it true that $\{b_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a rapidly decreasing sequence?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to Dr. George Csordas, Mr. Matthew Chasse, and Mr. Lukasz Grabarek for their careful reading of the manuscript and many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Brändén, *Iterated sequences and the geometry of zeros*, to appear in Ann. of Math. ArXiv: 0909.1927.
- [2] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Jensen Polynomials and the Turán and Laguerre Inequalities*, Pacific J. Math. **136** (1989), 241–260.
- [3] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Complex zero decreasing sequences*, Methods Appl. Anal. **2** (1995), 420–441.
- [4] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Composition theorems, multiplier sequences and complex zero decreasing sequences*, Value Distribution Theory and Its Related Topics, (G. Barsegian, I. Laine and C. C. Yang, eds.), Kluwer Press (2004).
- [5] T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Iterated Laguerre and Turán inequalities*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3**, (2002), no. 3, Article 39, 14pp.
- [6] G. Csordas and R. S. Varga, *Necessary and sufficient conditions and the Riemann Hypothesis*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **11** (1990), no. 3, 328–357.
- [7] D. K. Dimitrov, *Higher order Turán inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 2033–2037.
- [8] K. Driver and K. Jordaan, *Zeros of the hypergeometric polynomial $F(-n, b; c; z)$* , ArXiv: 0812.0708.
- [9] S. Fisk, *Questions about determinants and polynomials*, ArXiv: 0808.1850.
- [10] J. I. Hutchinson, *On a remarkable class of entire functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1923), 325–332.
- [11] B. Ja. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*, Transl. Math. Mono. **5**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1964; revised ed. 1980.
- [12] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Cambridge University Press 1915, 1916.
- [13] M. Marden, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Math. Surveys no. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1966.
- [14] N. Obreschkoff, *Verteilung und Berechnung der Nullstellen reeller Polynome*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1963.
- [15] M. L. Patrick, *Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 675–682.
- [16] G. Pólya and J. Schur, *Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **144** (1914), 89–113.

- [17] E. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [18] J. Schur, *Zwei Sätze über algebraische Gleichungen mit lauter reellen Wurzeln*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **144** (1914), 75-88.
- [19] R. P. Stanley, *Theory and application of plane partitions II*, J. Stud. Appl. Math. **50** (1971), 259-279.
- [20] G. Szegő, *Bemerkungen zu einem Satz von J. H. Grace über die Wurzeln algebraischer Gleichungen*, Math. Z. **13** (1922), 28-55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, MANOA, HONOLULU, HI 96822
E-mail address: `yoshi@math.hawaii.edu`